



## Using The Updated *RI Soil Erosion and Sediment Handbook*: Work Session on Large Site Plan Review February 12, 2015 (morning) Summary of Survey Results

Out of 10 attendees, 8 returned a complete survey, an 80% response rate. Most respondents felt that the work session was relevant and practical for their work and that it improved their understanding of how the Handbook revisions apply to large sites. The majority found the level of technical information appropriate and the pace of the work session appropriate.

	Strongly Disagree 1	Disagree 2	Neither Disagree or Agree 3	Agree 4	Strongly Agree 5	Mean
1. This work session was relevant and practical for my work.	0%	0%	0%	75%	25%	4.25
2. I will be able to use what I learned in this work session.	0%	0%	12.5%	62.5%	25%	4.13
3. The group work improved my understanding of how the Handbook revisions apply to large sites.	0%	0%	12.5%	62.5%	25%	4.13
4. The group work was a good way for me to learn this content.	0%	0%	12.5%	62.5%	25%	4.13

	Easy	Somewhat Easy	Appropriate	Somewhat Difficult	Difficult	Mean
6. The level of technical information was:	12.%%	0%	<b>87.5%</b>	0%	0%	<b>2.75</b>
	Slow	Somewhat Slow	Appropriate	Somewhat Fast	Fast	
7. The pace of the workshop was:	0%	0%	<b>75%</b>	25%	0%	<b>3.25</b>

## 8. What was your most important reason for attending today?

*Almost all respondents answered that their main reason for attending involved learning about SESC in general, or about the Handbook revisions and their application to large site review. All submitted answers are included below:*

- Limited knowledge of large site control requirements. Needed to learn it better.
- To get a more thorough understanding of the issues involved with staff review and responsibilities of employing the SESC.
- It helps me get a jumpstart on the Handbook.
- Become familiar with the new SESC Manual requirements.
- Involved in large site review in FWW & VIC & RIPDES work.
- Better understanding of SESC.
- Issues discussion.
- So I know what to look for during inspections.

## 9. Did we help you achieve your most important reason for attending?

*Seven (7) respondents said that we did help them achieve their most important reason for attending. One (1) said that we did not yet because “issues will need to evolve with the review.”*

**Either way, please let us know what we could have done better by checking all options that apply and writing any comments in the space provided.**

Out of the choices provided, one (1) respondent said we could have clarified the workshop objectives.

One (1) respondent said we could have improved the workshop organization.

One (1) respondent said we could have added video to the workshop.

## **Other Improvements?**

*Only one respondent answered this question:*

- Hard copy of the manual provided

## **10. What specific topics would you like to see covered in future workshops?**

- Add some additional “real world” scenarios for “difficult” sites and the train of thought utilized to adequately address the concerns. (The Foundry example and Reynolds Farm examples were good.)
- If time maybe design our own SESC plan – or go through a typical review and review comments exercise.
- I would suggest after project in house summaries of what we did to review example large projects.

## **Additional Comments and Suggestions for Future Training**

No comments were provided.